



F.H.S. Research Committee



Guidelines for Proposal Evaluation

(Preliminary)

According to the University Research Board's (URB) policy guidelines for faculty research grants, the Faculty Research Committee (FRC) must review, evaluate and approve all grant applications. The following describes the process of review and evaluation of proposals followed by the FRC.

1. All applications must meet the eligibility (and other) requirements of faculty research grants as stipulated in the URB Guidelines of April 17, 2003
2. All applications should include the Office of Grants and Contracts URB cover sheet signed by the Department Chairperson, and a covering letter addressed to the FRC Chairperson.
3. There are no forms required for applications to URB grants, but proposals must be typewritten (preferably in MS word), and must include the following items.
 - Covering page, including title of the proposed project, applicant's name and email address, departmental affiliation, and total amount requested.
 - Summary of project of no more than one page.
 - Project description of no more than 10 pages (double spaced).

The description may include the objectives and significance of the project, materials and methods of analysis, relation to current research in the field (a concise literature review).

- Bibliography
 - Itemized budget and work plan
 - Statement of any current or potential funding sources (with amounts requested).
 - CV of main investigator
4. The original and 5 copies of each complete application must be submitted to the Chairperson of the FRC two weeks prior to the deadline set by the URB.
 5. The Chairperson of the FRC screens each application to determine eligibility and to ensure that it meets the minimal requirements as described in the URB written guidelines and the FRC instructions as

Committee Members

Marwan Khawaja
Chairperson
Van Dyck room 305
ext: 4668

Rima Habib
Van Dyck room 326
ext 4630

Robin Heath
Van Dyck room 323
ext 4645

Mirou Jaana
Van Dyck room 107B
ext 4689

Samer Jabbour
Van Dyck room
228 ext 4642

Hala Tamim
Van Dyck room 216
ext 4648

described above. Incomplete proposals will be rejected or returned to the PI for minor revisions.

6. After the initial screening by the FRC, investigators may be asked to submit an electronic version of their proposals to the Chairperson of the FRC.
7. Two members of the FRC will be designated as principal internal reviewers of each proposal and will complete the FRC standardized Evaluation Form prior to the Committee deliberation.
8. The FRC will seek the opinion of at least one external reviewer to (anonymously) evaluate each proposal according to the FRC Evaluation Form, and to give an overall evaluation score of the application (1. Strongly recommended, 2. Highly recommended, 3. Recommended, or 4. Non recommended). The chairperson of the FRC will select external reviewers in consultation with members of the FRC.
9. All members of the FRC will review all proposals and participate in the deliberations of each one (other than their own, if any).
10. The FRC, after discussing the reviewers' comments, will ask the investigator to respond to the reviewers' concerns and submit a revised (preferably electronic) version of the proposal
11. The FRC will study the revised version of the proposal in light of the reviewers' comments and will assign a priority score based on (1) the reviewers' recommendations, (2) the track record of the investigator, and (3) an anonymous voting by all members of the FRC, excluding those who are submitting proposals as PIs.

